## WHEN DID NEBUCHADREZZAR CONQUER JERUSALEM?<sup>1</sup>

## Herman L. Hoeh

N 1956, EXACTLY TWENTY YEARS AGO, THE TRUSTEES OF THE BRITISH MUSEUM published *Chroniclers of Chaldaean Kings (626-556)*.<sup>2</sup> No single volume is more important in establishing the historical relationship between ancient Israel and her imperial neighbors. Though incomplete,<sup>3</sup> the chronicles provide accounts so specific that it is possible to date not only the year, but the season of the year for the battles of Megiddo (2 Ki 23:29-30; 2 Chr 35:20-24) and Carchemish (Jer 46). And to pinpoint the exact day of the month that king Jehoiachin surrendered Jerusalem to Nebuchadnezzar.

Today, twenty years later, controversy still surrounds the dating of the fall of Jerusalem to the Babylonians.<sup>4</sup> Not fundamentally because the chronicles of Babylon are incomplete, but because disagreement exists in the scholarly world over the meaning and/or text of the parallel biblical records. The cause may be simply stated — we human beings too often approach issues with preconceived notions as to what the solutions ought to be, rather than with the open mindedness, humility, clarity of thinking and respect for the Biblical text so imperative for resolving knotty problems. And if these very human traits exist among learned men and women trained to be disciplined thinkers, how much more will human weaknesses affect the conclusions of those not so disciplined?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper was delivered at the Symposium of "Frontiers of Knowledge" at Ambassador College, Pasadena, California, 1976. It is here reproduced and re-edited by Paul R. Finch (June 10, 2005). I (Paul Finch) had the distinct privilege to meet Dr. Hoeh in his home in September of 1974, where we discussed many of the matters brought up in this article. It is my personal belief that some of the conclusions in this article are the direct result of our discussion then and there. Nevertheless, this article exemplifies the extraordinary brilliant mind of one of the greatest scholars that I have ever met. His forceful conclusions and his penetrating way of speaking and writing will ever be remembered by us, his students, and is the very reason that I must present this article for the general public today and for the future of important biblical studies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Donald J. Wiseman, *Chronicles of the Chaldaean Kings (626-556 B.C.) in the British Museum* (London: Trustees of the British Museum, 1956).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Although the title of Wiseman's book implies a continuous chronicle for the period of 626 to 556, there are 52 missing years from 622-609, and most unfortunately, from 594-557 — the later gap falling right at the time when we so desperately need that record to help resolve this problem and color in the history of the fall of Jerusalem from the Babylonian perspective.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Another 29 years have passed and the literature surrounding this subject is, in the words of Nahum Sarna, "now legion" ().

So now we ask: When did Nebuchadrezzar overthrow Jerusalem and precipitate the destruction of the temple of Solomon? The world of scholarship has narrowed the possibilities to either of two dates: 587 B.C. or 586 B.C.<sup>5</sup> The weight of evidence, prior to the publication of the Chronicles in 1956, was inclined toward the latter date. A shift in thinking has since occurred, but no consensus. In the nonscholarly world the proposed answers are as wide apart as the theories which underlie them. One group has adopted the year 607 B.C. for the fall of Jerusalem in Zedekiah's eleventh year.<sup>6</sup> Another school of thought proposes 585 B.C. for the capture of Jerusalem in Zedekiah's last year (38:259).<sup>7</sup> Biblical history, a third assures us, pinpoints the end of the final siege of Jerusalem and the destruction of the First Temple to 477 B.C.<sup>8</sup> This reminds us of the traditional rabbinic view of world chronology which placed the destruction of the First Temple in 422 B.C.<sup>9</sup> These diverse proposals involve rejection in part or in entirety of the evidence undergirding Babylonian and Persian history. And in place of that evidence one finds substituted interpretations of history and biblical prophecies unique to that particular school of thought.

Scholarly papers normally do not address these exotic proposals for dating the fall of Jerusalem and the First Temple. But since this Symposium is examining the very basis of human knowledge and understanding, we at least ought to remind ourselves of the nature of the evidence for Babylonian and Persian historical chronology. That evidence is solidly founded. It is rooted in the very movements of the solar system itself. Babylonian, Persian and Hellenistic chronology is fixed by cuneiform evidence of the saros, an interval in astronomy of 18 years 11 1/3 days after which Earth, Sun and Moon return to nearly the same relative positions and a cycle of solar and lunar eclipses begins to repeat. Records of the saros are dated by the regnal years of kings from the Neo-Babylonian through the Persian to the late Hellenistic period.<sup>10</sup>

Further, thousands of business and other documents dated in regnal years provide, for this period, an unassailable sequence of intercalary months in the Babylonian calendar.<sup>11</sup> These documents are not the work of late historians of the Greek and Roman period. They constitute contemporary evidence by which the chronology and calendar of Babylonia may be reconstructed from 626 B.C. to A.D. 75.

Most important of all, for our study, is the astronomical tablet for the thirty-seventh year of Nebuchadrezzar II (568-567 B.C.). The text was made known to the scholarly world in 1915 and is translated into German.<sup>12</sup> It contains observations of planetary positions of Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter and Saturn throughout the year, the durations of first visibility of the new moons, the calendar dates and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For a good summary of the problem that is readily accessible, see Jack Finegan, *Handbook of Biblical Chronology* (Peabody, Mass.: Hendrikson, 1998), 251-265.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Aid to Bible Understanding (Brooklyn: Watchtower, 1971), 327, 328, 330.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Adam Rutherford, Israel-Britain Or Anglo-Saxon Israel: an Explanation of the Origin, Function and Destiny of the Anglo-Celto-Saxon Race in the British Empire and U.S.A. (London: published by author, 1934), 259.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The *Companion Bible ... with 198 Appendixes*, Ethelbert W. Bullinger, ed. (London: Oxford University Press, 1913), appendixes 50 and 86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Juda David Eisenstein, "Sabbatical Year and Jubilee," in *The Jewish Encyclopedia*, ed. Isidore Singer and Cyrus Adler (New York: Funk & Wagnalls, 1925), 10:608.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Josef Epping and J. N. Strassmaier, "Der Saros-Canon der Babylonier," *Zeitschrift für Assyriologie* 8 (1893): 149-178; Franz Xaver Kugler, *Sternkunde und Sterndienst in Babel*, 2 vols. and 3 supplements (Münster, 1907-35), 2:ii, 164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Ed Mahler, "Der Schaltcyclus der Babylonier," Zeitschrift für Assyriologie 9 (1894): 42-59; Kugler, op. cit., 187, 211-214; M. David Sidersky, Etude sur la Chronologie Assyro-Babylonienne (Paris: Imprimerie Nationale, 1916), 38-39; Richard A. Parker and Waldo H. Dubberstein, Babylonian Chronology 626 B. C. - A. D. 75, Brown University Studies XIX (Providence: Brown University Press, 1969), 4-9.

heights of the floodwaters of the Euphrates, the date of the summer solstice, and fluctuations in weather patterns. Modern astronomical science and computer technology confirm planetary and lunar observations for the thirty-seventh year of Nebuchadrezzar II and for no other year. The state of astronomical knowledge in antiquity was sufficiently limited to absolutely preclude the invention by Hellenistic astronomers of these planetary and lunar observations by extrapolation into the past.<sup>13</sup> The 43-year reign of Nebuchadrezzar II (also spelled Nebuchadnezzar) is firmly dated and not subject to doubt. Equally firmly dated is the 2-year reign of his son Amel-Marduk<sup>14</sup> (spelled Evil-merodach in most Bibles) in whose brief reign king Jehoiachin was released from captivity (Jer 52:31-34).

The Chronicles of Chaldaean Kings, when first published, caused significant scholarly rethinking of specific events, but in no way altered the chronology of the Babylonian kings known from antiquity (Josephus, *C. Ap.* 1:35).<sup>15</sup> The Chronicles reveal that after the fall of Niniveh to the Babylonians and their allies in the month Ab (July/Aug.) 612 B.C., the Chaldean army marched west as far as Nisibin in northern Mesopotamia on the upper Habur river in the month of Elul (Aug./Sept.).<sup>16</sup> The Assyrian king Assuruballit set up a new temporary capital at the city of Harran. Not until November of 610 did the Babylonians and their Median allies move west to Harran. The Assyrians and an Egyptian army abandoned the city and retreated across the Euphrates, abandoning the whole of Mesopotamia. In the seventeenth year of Nabopolassar, in the early summer of 609, Assur-uballit and "a great Egyptian army" crossed the Euphrates and besieged Harran.<sup>17</sup> The effort failed. Assyrians and Egyptians retired from the siege in Elul (Aug./ Sept.) and retreated across the Euphrates to Carchemish.<sup>18</sup>

The Biblical background to the Egyptian show of strength on the Euphrates is the recorded march of Necho through Judah in the thirty-first year of Josiah's reign. The King James Version inaccurately translates the Hebrew as if Necho were marching against the king of Assyria and against the city Carchemish (2 Ki. 23:29; 2 Chr. 35:20). The correct rendering of the Hebrew is "Pharaoh Necho king of Egypt was on his way to the king of Assyria at the river Euphrates when King Josiah intercepted him" and "Necho king of Egypt came up to fight at Carchemish on the Euphrates."<sup>19</sup> The date of the encounter between Necho and Josiah is indisputable: late spring of 609 B.C. in the thirty-first year of Josiah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Paul V. Neugebauer and Ernst F. Weidner, "Ein astronomischer Beobachtungstext aus dem 37. Jahre Nebukadnezars II. (-567/66)," *Berichte uber die Verhandlungen der Königlichen Sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschafter zu Leipzig*, Philologisch-Historische Klasse, Band 67:2 (1915), 28-89; Siegfried H. Horn and Lynn H. Wood, *The Chronology of Ezra 7*, 2d ed., rev. (Washington, D.C.: Review and Herald Publishing Association, 1970). The most recent transcription and translation is by A. J. Sachs and H. Hunger, *Astronomical Diaries and Related Texts from Babylonia* (Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1988), 1:12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Asger Aaboe, "Scientific Astronomy in Antiquity," *The Place of Astronomy in the Ancient World: A Joint Symposium of the Royal Society and the British Academy* (London: Oxford University Press, 1974), 33, 36, 41; Abraham Sachs, "Babylonian Observational Astronomy," ibid., 44, 45, 49.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Ronald Herbert Sack, Amel-Marduk 562-560 B.C.: A Study based on Cuneiform, Old Testament, Greek, Latin and Rabbinical Sources. Alter Orient und Altes Testament — Sonderreihe, Band 4, (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Butzon & Berker Kevelaer, 1972), 43-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See Edwin R. Thiele, *The Mysterious Numbers of the Hebrew Kings* (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1965), appendix G. Josephus' source for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Wiseman, Chronicles, 51-77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> BM 21901, lines 66-67 in Wiseman, op. cit., 63.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> William Foxwell Albright, "The Nebuchadnezzar and Neriglisssar Chronicles," 143 BASOR (Oct., 1956), 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The Jerusalem Bible (Garden City, N. Y.: Doubleday & Co., Inc., 1966), 2 Ki 23:29; 2 Chron. 35:20.

The events of 609 B.C. were of major significance for the entire Biblical world. The Assyrian Empire, which once ruled from the highlands of Persia to the southern borders of Egypt, lay prostrate before the king of Akkad and his Median allies. The Egyptian armies found further hostilities in 609 futile. No encounter between Egyptians and the king of Akkad is recorded in the Chronicle from August 609 to August 607. And with the retreat of Assur-uballit across the Euphrates after the unsuccessful siege of Harran, all reference to the Assyrian king ceases. The fact that the armies of Akkad were free to march northward against south-western Armenia in 609 and, in the years 608 and 607, continue the conquest of the mountainous country north of northwestern Mesopotamia indicates an agreement was reached with Necho at the expense of Assur-uballit. The nature of this agreement between Nabopolassar, king of Akkad, and the Egyptian Necho, former client-king of Assyria, is clarified by a quotation from Berossos, cited in Josephus (26:217). Necho is recognized as satrap of the newly born neo-Babylonian empire in charge of Egypt, Coele-Syria and Phoenicia. Necho had no intention of remaining in this subordinate position.

We now read of the king's eldest son Nebuchadrezzar in the year 607. He musters his own army for the first time and subdues the entire mountainous region fringing northern Mesopotamia. In the month of Elul (Aug.) in 607, Nabopolassar marches to the Euphrates while his son remains at Babylon with his army. The next year (606 B.C.) the Chronicle is silent about the movements of Nebuchadrezzar. We shall notice later the unrecorded movements of the crown-prince when we turn to the Bible again. Meanwhile, Necho moves his troops eastward and crosses the Euphrates at Carchemish. As spring came round in the twenty-first year of Nabopolassar, the aging king remains at home. Nebuchadrezzar takes command of his troops, marches to Carchemish, brilliantly attacks the Egyptians in the spring of 605 B.C. and utterly defeats them. Those who had momentarily escaped defeat were trapped in the district of Hamath -- not a single man escaped to his own country.<sup>20</sup>

The Biblical reference to this defeat of Necho at Carchemish is found in Jeremiah 46.

The word of the Lord which came to Jeremiah the prophet concerning the nations. About Egypt. Concerning the army of Pharaoh Necho, king of Egypt, which was by the river Euphrates at Carchemish and which Nebuchadrezzar king of Babylon defeated in the fourth year of Jehoiakim the son of Josiah, king of Judah (Jer 46:1-2, RSV).

The date of this prophecy is of major importance. The Babylonian Chronicle assigns it to 605 B.C. the last year of Nabopolassar. The Bible assigns it to the fourth year of Jehoiakim. We are now prepared to begin a construction of the interrelated chronology for this period. Necho's army slew Josiah in the spring of 609, enroute to the Euphrates. This event is in the seventeenth year (609-608) of Nabopolassar. It is the thirty-first year of Josiah. The crushing defeat of Necho at Carchemish in the spring of 605 occurred, in the twenty-first year (605-604) of Nabopolassar and the fourth of Jehoiakim. The Babylonian years, all agree, begin with Nisan (Mar./Apr.). Scholars are divided, as to whether the years of the kings of Judah begin with Nisan or with Tishri (Sept./Oct.). It is this problem that is at the root of the controversy over the dating of the fall of Judah and the burning of the First Temple. If Tishri is the month with which regnal years in Judah commence, there will be correspondence. Before we can arrive at an answer, we must quickly skim the account of the Chronicle.

Immediately following the victory at Carchemish in the spring of 605, the Chronicle notes: "At that time Nebuchadrezzar conquered the whole area of the Hatti-country."<sup>21</sup> The term Hatti refers to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Wiseman, Chronicles, 58-9.

western lands beyond Euphrates and bordering on the eastern Mediterranean. On the eighth of Ab (Aug. 15) Nabopolassar died.<sup>22</sup> Nebuchadrezzar rushed back to Babylon and ascended the throne. Year 605-604, heretofore designated the twenty-first year of Nabopolassar, is now referred to in business documents and in the Chronicle as the "accession year" of Nebuchadrezzar.<sup>23</sup> The new king immediately marched west, obtained heavy tribute from Hatti and returned to Babylon.<sup>24</sup> The following year, 604-603 B.C., the first official year of Nebuchadrezzar's rule at Babylon, the king ordered all the kings of the western lands to appear before him in Hatti in the month Kislev (Nov./Dec.) with tribute.<sup>25</sup> The second year a major city (the name is broken from the document) in the land of Hatti is besieged and punished.<sup>26</sup> Tribute is again collected in the third year.<sup>27</sup> In the fourth year in Kislev (Nov./Dec.) Nebuchadrezzar leads an army to Egypt. The armies "inflicted great havoc on each other."<sup>28</sup> No tribute is reported taken, and Nebuchadrezzar is required to spend the next year regrouping his forces.<sup>29</sup> The sixth year (599-598), Nebuchadrezzar marches to Hatti and sends out "companies" to scour the desert and plunder the Arabs.<sup>30</sup>

We have now reached the critical seventh year of Nebuchadrezzar.

In the seventh year, the month of Kislev, the king of Akkad mustered his troops, marched to the Hatti-land, and encamped against (i.e. besieged) the city of Judah and on the second day of the month of Adar he seized the city and captured the king. He appointed there a king of his own choice (lit. heart), received its heavy tribute and sent (them) to Babylon.<sup>31</sup>

There can be no mistaking this event. The city of Judah is Jerusalem. The besieged king is Jehoiachin. He is captured on Adar 2 (March 16, 597) and sent to Babylon. The king appointed by Nebuchadrezzar is Zedekiah. The Biblical parallels are found in 2 Kings 24:8-16 and 2 Chronicles 36:9-10. Of special importance is verse 10. The Hebrew designates the time when the king was sent off to Babylon as "at the turn of the year."<sup>32</sup> The Hebrew expression "turn of the year" refers to a seasonal change. The capture of Jehoiachin was eleven days before the spring equinox (March 27 in 597 B.C.). This important account of the capture of Jehoiachin and the appointment of Zedekiah as king is the critical piece of evidence needed to resolve the question of the dating of the regnal years of the kings of Judah. Jehoiakim reigned eleven years. He died three months prior to the capture of his son Jehoiachin (2 Ki 24:8). The death of Jehoiakim is not referred to in the Babylonian record. But Jeremiah and Josephus combine to give a gruesome account (Jer 22:18-19; 27:209-211). If the reigns of the kings of Judah for this period are reckoned commencing with Tishri, then the eleventh year of Jehoiakim, in which he died, would be Sept. to Sept. 598 to 597. And his first year, following his accession, would begin in September 608. Is this a viable solution? It is proposed by

- <sup>24</sup> B.M. 21946, obv. line 13, Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 69.
- <sup>25</sup> B.M. 21946, obv. lines 15-20, Wiseman, Chronicles, 69.
- <sup>26</sup> B.M. 21946, obv. lines 21-3, Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 71.
- <sup>27</sup> B.M. 21946, rev. lines 1-4, Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 71.
- <sup>28</sup> B.M. 21946, rev. lines 5-7, Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 71.
- <sup>29</sup> B.M. 21946, rev. line 8, Wiseman, Chronicles, 71.
- <sup>30</sup> B.M. 21946, rev. lines 9-10, Wiseman, Chronicles, 71.
- <sup>31</sup> B.M. 21946, rev. lines 11-13, Wiseman, Chronicles, 73.

<sup>32</sup> Jacob M. Meyers, *II Chronicles/The Anchor Bible* (Garden City, New York: Doubleday & Co., Inc., 1965), 218. Jacob M. Meyers, *I and II Esdras/The Anchor Bible* (Garden City, New York: Doubleday & Co., Inc., 1974), 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> B.M. 21946, obv. lines 9-10, Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> B.M. 21946, obv. line 12, Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 69.

leading scholars in the field, such as Morgenstern,<sup>33</sup> Thiele,<sup>34</sup> Malamat,<sup>35</sup> Horn<sup>36</sup> and Freedy and Redford.<sup>37</sup> But let us see where the evidence leads us and review their assumptions necessary to make such a conclusion.

First, we must consider the fact that the last year of Josiah's reign, in which he died, included the date of the battle of Megiddo, probably sometime in the late spring of 609 B.C.— and not, as Gadd suggested, in 608 (17). Josiah's last regnal year, therefore, would end with Elul (Sept.) 609 B.C. An entire year is unaccounted for — 609-608 B.C. It is not necessary to involve ourselves with the intricate solutions proposed. None explain the statement of Jeremiah:

The word that came to Jeremiah... in the fourth year of Jehoiakim the son of Josiah... From the thirteenth year of Josiah... even unto this day, these three and twenty years, the word of the Lord hath come unto me (Jer 25:2,3, NRSV).

The ministry of Jeremiah began with year thirteen of Josiah's reign and continued through year thirtyone of the reign of Josiah — that is nineteen years — and continued (at the time of this account) into the fourth year of Jehoiakim — twenty-three years in all. But if an extra year is inserted between Josiah and his son Jehoiakim, then Jeremiah would have said "these four and twenty years." But he did not. Jeremiah 25:1-2 is conclusive evidence (despite comments to the contrary (31:147) that no additional 12-month accession year elapsed between the end of the reign of Josiah and the first year of Jehoiakim. The conclusion we are forced to come to is inevitable. The regnal years for the kings of Judah during this period must commence with Nisan (8:38). Josiah's thirteenth year is 627-626. His thirty-first year is 609-608. During this year the battle of Megiddo occurred: the king died and was succeeded by his son Jehoahaz (2 Chr 36:1-3) for three months, then deposed; and finally Jehoiakim ascended to the throne. The following spring, Nisan 608, his official first year began.

Again, let us suppose a Tishri reckoning is in vogue in Judah during the eleven-year reign of Jehoiakim. His official first year, if we reckon from Tishri, would have to begin in 608 because the eleventh year would be none other than 598-597. This date we have already established from the Babylonian *Chronicle*. Supposing his first year to be Tishri 608-607, his fourth year during which the battle of Carchemish occurred, would begin 605 in September and extend to September 604. But this again is impossible, because the battle of Carchemish occurred, in the early spring of 605. To obviate this problem, here's what Siegfried Horn suggests. By accepting a Tishri-to-Tishri calendar year for the kings of Judah at this time, Horn is thus forced to say that it is not the battle of Carcemish that the fourth year refers to, but to just the prophecy.<sup>38</sup> Even Abraham Malamat refuses to relinquish his former position at the risk of denying the clear evidence,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Julian Morgenstern, "The New Year for Kings," *Occident and Orient, Gaster Anniversary Volume*; ed. B. Schindler (London: Taylor, 1936), 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Edwin R. Thiele, *The Mysterious Numbers of the Hebrew Kings* (Grand Rapids: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1965), 25-26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Abraham Malamat, "The Last kings of Judah and the Fall of Jerusalem," *Israel Exploration Journal* 18:3 (1968): 141, 146.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Siegfried H. Horn, "The Babylonian Chronicle and the Ancient Calendar of the Kingdom of Judah," *Andrews University Seminary Studies*, 5 (1967): 19, 22; *The Seventh Day Adventist Bible Commentary* (Washington, D. C.: Review and Herald Publishing Association, 1954-55), 111, 95.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> K. S. Freedy and Donald B. Redford, "The Dates in Ezekiel in Relation to Biblical, Babylonian and Egyptian Sources," *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 90:3 (July-Sept. 1970): 467.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Siegfried H. Horn, "The Babylonian Chronicle and the Ancient Calendar of the Kingdom of Judah," *Andrews University Seminary Studies*, 5 (1967): 26.

and also seems compelled to accept Horn's escape.<sup>39</sup> Nonetheless, scholars who may be prone to accept the solutions of Horn and Malamat may not realize the fact that even Dr. Horn himself admits that his proposal is "an artificial and unnatural grammatical construction, for which reason this interpretation may not appeal to many scholars."<sup>40</sup> What an astonishing admission!

With this said, all scholars in this area of expertise should reconsider the obvious fact that regnal years reckoned from Nisan to Nisan in this period of Judaean history is a fact that can no longer be denied. Once this fact is accepted, it immediately resolves all the problems that the Tishri-to-Tishri theory is saddled with. Only a Nisan New Year is in complete agreement with both the Bible *and* the Babylonian *Chronicle*. That is, the reign of Jehoiakim commenced in Nisan (Mar.) 608 and his eleventh and last year ended, the spring of 597. The fourth year began in April 605 and, properly included, the battle of Carchemish that spring. It is because of these obvious facts that a Nisan-to-Nisan year reckoning for Judaean kings during this period in the history of Judah is supported by the majority of scholars who recognize that no viable alternative is possible for the reign of Jehoiakim.<sup>41</sup>

We are now in a position to take a quick look at the internal events in the reign of Jehoiakim. Second Kings 24:1 records that Jehoiakim paid tribute for three years and then rebelled. The Babylonian *Chronicle* (as we already noted) mentions receipt of spoils during three years — from 605-602 B.C.<sup>42</sup> The rebellion was precipitated by the havoc wrought on the Babylonian army by the battle on the border of Egypt in the early winter of 601-600. No tribute was carried back according to the Chronicle. The Biblical account then proceeds with the punishment visited on Jehoiakim after his rebellion:

And the Lord sent against him bands of the Chaldeans, and bands of the Arameans, and bands of the Moabites; and bands of the children of Ammon, and sent them against Judah to destroy it (2 Ki 24:2).

The Babylonian *Chronicle* reports of the king of Akkad: "From the Hatti-land he sent out his companies" in the late autumn of 599 B.C. and scoured the desert for plunder.<sup>43</sup>

<sup>41</sup> David Noel Freedman, "The Babylonian Chronicle," *The Biblical Archaeologist* 19:3 (Sept. 1956): 55; Jack Finegan, "Nebuchadnezzar and Jerusalem," *Journal of Bible and Religion*, 25 (1957): 204; J. Phillip Hyatt, "New Light on Nebuchadrezzar and Judaean History," *Journal of Biblical Literature* 75 (1956): 278; Hayim Tadmor, "Chronology of the Last Kings of Judah," *Journal of Near Eastern Studies*, 15:4 (Oct. 1956): 226-7; Elias Auerbach, "Die Babylonische Datierung im Pentateuch und das Alter des Priester-Kodex," *Vetus Testamentum* 2:4 (1952): 336; "Wann eroberte Nebukadnezar Jerusalem?" *Vetus Testamentum* 11 (1961): 128-36; "Der Wechsel des Jahres-Anganges in Juda im Lichte der Neugefundenen Babylonischen Chronik," *Vetus Testamentum* 9:2 (April, 1959): 121; Alfred Jepsen, "Zur Chronologie der Könige von Israel und Juda," *Untersuchungen zur Israelitisch-Jüdischen Chronologie* (Berlin: Verlag Alfred Toepeimann, 1964), 24; E. Vogt, "Die Neubabylonische Chronik über die Schlacht bei Karkemisch und die Einnahme von Jerusalem," *Supplements to Vetus Testamentum* 4 (1957): 95.

<sup>42</sup> Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 69-71.

<sup>43</sup> B.M. 21946, rev. lines 9-10, Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 71. These companies could hardly be other than the bands mentioned in 2 Ki 24:2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Abraham Malamat, "The Last kings of Judah and the Fall of Jerusalem," *Israel Exploration Journal* 18:3 (1968): 147; "A New Record of Nebuchadrezzar's Palestinian Campaigns," *Israel Exploration Journal* 6:4 (1956): 250.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> With this candid admission, of course, the entire theory of a Tishri-to-Tishri year for the last kings of Judah suddenly becomes a matter of personal preference of certain scholars who now are on the outside of reason, rather than an attestation to the facts that we have at our disposal. These scholars need to let the evidence lead them into to the fact that at this time in Judaean history Judah did indeed commence its years in the spring of the year (Nisan), and not as the former kings of Judah did, in the fall of the year (Tishri). It is only with the acceptance of this crucial fact that the entire problem is immediately resolved once and for all.

The Babylonian *Chronicle* does not report anything of the voluntary surrender of Jehoiakim without a siege in the beginning of December 598, the latter half of his eleventh year. The Biblical account reads: "Against him came up Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon, and bound him in fetters, to carry him to Babylon" (2 Chr 36:6). The Babylonian king changed his mind and slew him. During the following three months, Jehoiachin ruled Judah. Nebuchadnezzar deposed him and set Zedekiah on the throne in the month of Adar.<sup>44</sup> Year one of Zedekiah's reign officially began with Nisan (April) 597. His eleventh year therefore extended from Nisan 587-586. For the later years of the reign of Zedekiah we have no contemporary account in the Babylonian Chronicle. But in the Bible we have the record that Zedekiah, too, rebelled. Nebuchadrezzar besieged Jerusalem in the ninth year of Zedekiah, beginning the tenth day of the tenth month, *i.e.*, January 15, 588 B.C. The siege lasted a year and six months (Josephus, A. J. ???). In the eleventh year of Zedekiah, the ninth day of the fourth month (July 29, 587) the resistance collapsed.

The following month, Ab, the Babylonians came to Jerusalem and razed the temple, the palace and all other important buildings over a four-day period (2 Ki 25:8-9 and Jer 52:12-13). The Jewish community memorializes this event on the ninth of Ab. According to the Talmud the ninth of Ab fell on a Sunday in the year the First Temple was destroyed (*Arakin* 12b and *Taanith* 29a). This was Sunday August 27.<sup>45</sup> In the year 586 B.C. the ninth of Ab did not fall on a Sunday.

Thus far we have examined the dating of events in terms of the regnal years of the kings of Judah. The question is, how does the Bible equate the regnal years of Nebuchadrezzar with those of Judah? The first year of Nebuchadrezzar is equated with the fourth of Jehoiakim (Jer 25:1). The eighteenth year of Nebuchadrezzar is equated, with the tenth of Zedekiah (Jer 32:1). And the nineteenth of Nebuchadnezzar is equivalent to the eleventh year of Zedekiah (2 Kings 25:8). These dates are internally consistent. There is no Biblical grounds for interpreting Jeremiah 25:1 to mean that the fourth year of Jehoiakim is equivalent to the accession year of Nebuchadrezzar, thus naming the fifth year be equivalent to year one.<sup>46</sup> This translation has been suggested in order to retain the date 586 for the fall of Jerusalem. It necessitates the adding of an entire accession year (Nisan 597 to Nisan 596) between the last regnal year of Jehoiakim and the first regnal year of Zedekiah. It furthermore presumes Zedekiah came to the throne shortly after Nisan in 597 so that the remainder of the year is to be counted an accession year preceding his first year. The Babylonian Chronicle indicates accession prior to Nisan. The first year consequently began in the spring of 597, not 596.

All of these Biblical dates for the regnal years of Nebuchadnezzar are one year earlier than the usage in Babylon. That is, the king's accession year in Babylon (605-604) is the first year of Jeremiah 25:1. That the Bible is consistent in this different mode of dating is proved by 2 Kings 24:12. Here the Biblical record declares the young Jewish king Jehoiachin surrendered to the king of Babylon in the latter's eighth year. And this event of the eighth year, remember, is the surrender of Jehoiachin in Adar, 597. It is not the date of the long march to Babylonia. That is not mentioned until verse 15. The surrender of the king of Judah is dated in the Babylonian Chronicle to the seventh year.<sup>47</sup> The evidence is, therefore, that the regnal years of Nebuchadnezzar are dated one year earlier in Judah than in Babylon. The custom apparently arose from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> B.M. 21946, rev. lines 11-13, Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 73.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Richard A. Parker and Waldo H. Dubberstein, *Babylonian Chronology 626 B. C. - A. D. 75, Brown University Studies XIX* (Providence: Brown University Press, 1969), 28.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Hayim Tadmor, "Chronology of the Last Kings of Judah," *Journal of Near Eastern Studies*, 15:4 (Oct. 1956):
 226; K. S. Freedy and Donald B. Redford, "The Dates in Ezekiel in Relation to Biblical, Babylonian and Egyptian Sources," *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 90:3 (July-Sept. 1970): 405, fn 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> B.M. 21946, rev. lines 11-13, Wiseman, Chronicles, 73.

fact that Nebuchadrezzar "took command" of the entire Babylonian army beginning with the twenty-first year of his father — 605-604 B.C.<sup>48</sup> Josephus, by contrast, uses the Babylonian reckoning consistently (27:205,221). Should additional tablets of the Babylonian Chronicle recovered, they would be in agreement with Josephus, and would differ from the Biblical record by one year as is already apparent in the case of the surrender of Jehoiachin, where the Biblical record mentions the eighth year and the Babylonian record the seventh.

A minor point of interest is Ezekiel 1:1-2. The fifth year of the captivity of king Jehoiachin, the fourth month, falls in the "thirtieth year." The original Hebrew expression is not a reference to the age of the prophet Ezekiel.<sup>49</sup> But the fifth year of the captivity of the king (593-592) would clearly be the thirtieth year of the renewal of the covenant which occurred, in the eighteenth year (622-621 B.C.) of Josiah (2 Chr. 34:8-33).

Some have queried, whether the seventh and. eighteenth years of Nebuchadrezzar (Jer 52:28-29) are Babylonian equivalents of the Biblical eighth and nineteenth years of the king elsewhere mentioned. This is highly unlikely as the nineteenth year is also mentioned, in Jeremiah 52:12. The Biblical seventh year is the Babylonian sixth year. It is the year the king of Babylon sent out bands or companies to ravage the desert countryside. The eighteenth is the tenth year of Zedekiah, during which the Babylonians captured a few hundred who sought to escape from Jerusalem during the brief period the siege was lifted at the approach of the Egyptian army (Jer 37:11-12).

An enigma in the chronology for this period is Daniel 1:1:<sup>50</sup>

In the third year of the reign of Jehoiakim king of Judah came Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon unto Jerusalem, and besieged it.

This is the only Biblical account that tells of the initial attack on the city. The date is 606-605 B.C., the twentieth year of the reign of Nabopolassar. In that year the whereabouts of the crown-prince Nebuchadrezzar is missing from the Babylonian *Chronicle*.<sup>51</sup> The previous year he is mustering his army, and the following year he takes command of the Babylonian army in place of his father. The account in Daniel 1:1 is illustrative of a secondary campaign that occurred in the year immediately preceding the onslaught on Carchemish. While Nabopolassar covered the front along the Euphrates in Northern Syria, the crown-prince cut southward into the hill country along the Egyptian lifeline. He picked the weakest link in the Egyptian chain — it was, after all, Judah that at first stood up against Necho in the last year of Josiah! From a strategic view it was a brilliant move. It is further corroborated by Josephus who writes that in 605 B.C., after the battle at Carchemish, "the Babylonian king crossed Euphrates and occupied all Syria, with the exception of Judea, as far as Pelusium" (27:205). It would have been military folly to have occupied the whole of the Hatti-territory to the border of Egypt except Judea — unless, that is, it had been occupied on a previous campaign and its king had now become a Babylonian ally.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> B.M. 21946, obv. lines 1-2, Wiseman, *Chronicles*, 67; Jack Finegan, *Handbook of Biblical Chronology* (Peabody, Mass.: Hendrikson, 1998), 198-210.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> G. A. Cooke, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Ezekiel (Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1951),
3-7; C. F. Whitley, "The 'Thirtieth' Year in Ezekiel 1:1," Vetus Testamentum 9:3 (July, 1959): 327.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> The verse is usually ignored as unhistorical or in need of emendation, so Abraham Malamat, "The Last kings of Judah and the Fall of Jerusalem," *Israel Exploration Journal* 18:3 (1968): 142. A look at the Babylonian Chronicle provides a clue to what happened in the third year of Jehoiakim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> B.M. 22047, obv. lines 16-26, Wiseman, Chronicles, 67.

A criticism of the Nisan reckoning of regnal years at the close of Judaean history has been based on Ezekiel 33:21. The proper reading of this verse is "twelfth year," not "eleventh."<sup>52</sup> With this the Septuagint agrees<sup>53</sup> despite its sometimes variable text.<sup>54</sup> It is soundly argued, and it is more logical that only six months - not eighteen months - elapsed between the fall of Jerusalem and the arrival of an escapee in Mesopotamia to bring word to Ezekiel. It has been proposed that a new year with Tishri began two months after the collapse of Jerusalem in July and that this new year is the twelfth of the captivity. If, on the other hand, a Nisan dating were used, a year and six months would have elapsed between the fall of Jerusalem in the fourth month of the eleventh year and the arrival of an escapee in the twelfth year, the tenth month. The criticism is valid, and that is the very reason some who hold to a Nisan reckoning propose altering the text. But the answer is in the text itself. Up to this point in time Ezekiel reckons by the captivity of Jehoiachin. Here and in Ezekiel 40:1 however, he uses a different expression: "our captivity." Now Ezekiel was taken captive three months earlier than Jehoiachin, at the time of the death of Jehoiakim (Josephus 27:211). Kislev 3 is a traditional date commemorating this event on the Hebrew calendar.<sup>55</sup> Ezekiel chose to date events by his captivity, rather than by king Jehoiachin's captivity, as there were now two Jewish kings in captivity at Babylon — Jehoiachin and Zedekiah. The twelfth year of Ezekiel's captivity commenced with the ninth month — Kislev 3. So a date in the tenth month would be in the succeeding or twelfth year. Hence the escapee was indeed only six months in reaching Ezekiel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> K. S. Freedy and Donald B. Redford, "The Dates in Ezekiel in Relation to Biblical, Babylonian and Egyptian Sources," *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 90:3 (July-Sept. 1970): 466, fn. 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Septuaginta, Alfred Rahlfs ed., 5th ed. (Stuttgart: Privileg. Wuertt. Bibelanstalt, 1935), Ezekiel 33:21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Walters (formerly Katz), Peter, *The Text of the Septuagint: Its Corruptions and Their Emendation*, edited by D. W. Godding (Cambridge, 1973), 4, 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Lyons, Jacques J. and Abraham de Sola, *A Jewish Calendar... Together with an Introductory Essay on the Jewish Calendar System* (Montreal: 1854), 29.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Aaboe, A., "Scientific Astronomy in Antiquity," *The Place of* 

Astronomy in the Ancient World/A Joint Symposium of the Royal

Society and the British Academy. London: Oxford University

Press, 1974. 21-42.

2. Aid To Bible Understanding, 1971 edition. Brooklyn: International Bible Students Association.

3. Albright, William Foxwell, "The Nebuchadnezzar and Neriglisssar

Chronicles," Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental

Research, no. 143, Oct. 1956, 28-33.

4. Auerbach, Elias, "Die Babylonische Datierung im Pentateuch und

das Alter des Priester-Kodex," Vetus Testamentum, II, Heft 4,

1952, pp. 334-342.

5. Auerbach, Elias, "Wann eroberte Nebukadnezar Jerusalem?" VetusTestamentum. XI, 1961, pp. 128-136.

6. Auerbach, Elias, "Der Wechsel des Jahres-Anganges in Juda im Lichte der Neugefundenen Babylonischen Chronik," Vetus Test- meantime IX, no. 2, April 1959, pp. 112-121.

7. The Babylonian Talmud, I. Epstein, editor, 18 vols. London: The Soncino Press, 1935-1952.

8. Clines, D. J. A., "The Evidence for an Autumnal New Year in Pre- Exilic Israel Reconsidered," Journal of Biblical Literature, vol. 93, no. 1, Mar. 1974, pp. 22-40.

9. The Companion Bible...with 198 Appendixes. London: The Lamp Press.

10. Cooke, G. A., A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Ezekiel. Edinburgh: T. & T. dark, 1951.

11. Eisenstein, Juda David, "Sabbatical Year and Jubilee," The Jewish Encyclopedia, 12 vols. New York: Funk and Wagnalls Co., 1905.

12. Epping, Jos. and J. N. Strassmaier, "Der Saros-Canon der Babylonier,' Zeitschrift fuer Assyriologie, Achter Band, 1893, pp. 149-178.Finegan, Jack, Handbook of Biblical Chronology. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1964.

Finegan, Jack, "Nebuchadnezzar and Jerusalem," Journal of Bible and Religion, XXV, 1957, pp. 203-205.

Freedman, David Noel, "The Babylonian Chronicle," The Biblical Archaeologist. XIX, no. 3, Sept. 1956, pp. 50-60.

Freedy, K. S., and Donald B. Redford, "The Dates in Ezekiel in Relation to Biblical, Babylonian and Egyptian Sources," *Journal of the Americal Oriental Society*, 90:3, July-Sept. 1970, 462-485.

Gadd, C. J., The Fall of Nineveh. London: The British Museum,

1923.

The Holy Bible Containing the Old and New Testaments/Translated... by His Majesty's Special Command. (King James Translation). Cambridge.

The Holy Bible/Revised Standard Version/An Ecumenical Edition. London: Collins, 1973.

The Holy Scriptures According to the Masoretic Text, new edition. Philadelphia: The Jewish Publication Society of America, 1955.

Horn, Siegrfied H., "The Babylonian Chronicle and the Ancient Calendar of the Kingdom of Judah," Andrews University Seminary Studies. V, 1967, pp. 12-27.

Horn, Siegfried H. and Lynn H. Wood, The Chronology of Ezra 7, second edition, revised. Washington, D.C.: Review and Herald Publishing Association, 1970.

Hyatt, J. Philip, "New Light on Nebuchadrezzar and Judean History,' Journal of Biblical Literature. LXXV, 1956, pp. 277-284.

Jepsen, Alfred, "Zur Chronologic dar Koenige von Israel und Juda," Untersuchungen zur Israelitisch-Juedischen Chronologic. Berlin: Verlag Alfred Toepeimann, 1964.

The Jerusalem Bible. Garden City, N. Y.: Doubleday & Co., Inc., 1966.

Josephus, Flavius, Against Apion, H. St. J. Thackeray translator,

I, pp. 161-411. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1966

Josephus, Flavius, Jewish Antiquities, Ralph Marcus translator,

VI. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1966.

28. Kugler, Franz Xaver, Sternkunde und Sterndienst in Babel (2 vols.

and 3 supplements). MuensCer, 1907-35.

29. Lyons, Jacques J. and Abraham de Sola, A Jewish Calendar...

Together with an Introductory Essay on the Jewish Calendar System. Montreal: 1854.

30. Y<sup>ahler</sup>, Ed., "Der Schaltcyclus der Babylonier," Zeitschrift fuer Assyriologie, Neunter Band, 1894, pp. 42-59.

31. Malamat, A. "The Last Kings of Juda<sup>^</sup> and the Fall of Jerusalem,"

Israel Exploration Journal, vol. 18, no. 3, 1968, pp. 137-156.

32. Malamat, A., "A New Record of Nebuchadrezzar's Palestinian

Campaigns," Israel Exploration Journal, vol. 6, no. 4, 1956, pp. 246-256.

33. Morgenstern, Julian, "The New Year for Kings," Occident and Orient Gaster Anniversary volune; ed. B. Schindler. London: Taylor, 1936. pp. 439-456.

34. Myers, Jacob M., I and II Esdras/The Anchor Bible. Garden City,

N. Y.: Doubleday and Company, Inc., 1974.

35. Myers, Jacob M., II Chronicles/The Anchor Bible. Garden City,

N. Y.: Doubleday and Company, Inc., 1965.

36. Neugebauer, Paul V. and Ernst F. Weidner, "Ein astronomischer Beobachtungstext aus dem 37. Jahre Nebukadnezars II. (- 567/66)," Berichte ueber die Verhandlungen der Koeniglichen Saechsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig, Phil.-Hist. Klasse, 67 (1915), pt. 2, pp. 28-89.
37. Parker, Richard A. and Waldo H. Dubberstein, Babylonian Chronology 626 B. C. - A. D. 75. Brown University Studies XIX. 1969.
38. Rutherford, Adam, Israel-Britain. London: 1939.
39. Sachs, A., "Babylonian Observational Astronomy," The Place of Astronomy in the Ancient World/A Joint Symposium of the Royal Society and the British Academy. London: Oxford University Press, 1974. pp. 43-50.
40. Sack, Ronald Herbert, Amel-Marduk 562-560 B. C./A Study based on Cuneiform, Old Testament, Greek, Latin and Rabbinical Sources.

Alter Orient und Altes Testament - Sonderreihe, Bd. 4. Verlag

Butzon & Bercker Kevelaer, 1972.

41. Septuaginta, editio quinta, Alfred Rahlfs editor. Stuttgart:

Privileg. Wuertt. Bibelanstalt, 1935.

42. The Seventh Day Advent 1st Bible Commentary, 7 vols. Washington,

D. C.: Review and Herald Publishing Association, 1954-55.

43. Sidersky, M. David, Etude sur la Chronologie Assyro-Babylonienne.Paris: Imprimerie Nationale, 1916.

44. Tadmor, Hayim, "Chronology of the Last Kings of Judah," Journal of Near Eastern Studies, XV, no. 4, Oct. 1956, pp. 226-230.

45. Thiele, Edwin R., The Mysterious Numbers of the Hebrew Kings, revised edition. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1965.

46. Thiele, Edwin R., "New Evidence on the Chronology of the Last Kings of Judah", Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research,

No. 143, October 1956, pp. 22-27.

47. Vogt, E. "Die Neubabylonische Chronik ueber die Schlacht bei

Karkemisch und die Einnahme von Jerusalem," Supplements to Vetus Testamentum. IV, 1957, pp. 67-96.

48. Wacholder, Ben Zion, "The Calendar of Sabbatical Cycles During the Second Temple and the Early Rabbinic Period," Hebrew Union College Annual. XLIV, 1973, pp. 153-196.

49. Walters (formerly Katz), Peter, The Text of the Septuagint/Its Corruptions and Their Emendation, edited by D. W. Godding.

Cambridge, 1973.

50. Whitley, C. F., "The 'Thirtieth' Year in Ezekiel I I," Vetus

Testamentum. IX, no. 3, July 1959, pp. 326-330.

51. Wiseman, D. J., Chronicles of Chaldaean Kings (626-556 B. C.) in

the British Museum. London: Trustees of the British